## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR AT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,602	09/15/2003	Sung Uk Moon	242752US90	8504	
	7590 01/24/2008	EXAMINER			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			PANWALKAR, VINEETA S		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•	2611			
				·	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		01/24/2008	ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be swindled under the provision of 30°CF41.30(s). In or event, however, may a very be timely filled to the provision of the provision of 30°CF41.30(s). In or event, however, may a very be timely filled to 180 period for reply is specified above. The maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or settled period for reply is specified above. The maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or settled period for reply is specified above. The maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or settled period of the communication. Failure to reply with the set of the settled period of the communication. Failure to reply within the set of the SIX (7) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply with the set of the settled period of the communication. Failure to reply with the settled period of the communication of the maximum statutory and the settled period of the communication.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exp parts Quarter of the settled period of the maximum statutory and the settled period of the settled period of the maximum statutory.  Since this application is objected to by the Examiner.  Claim(s) 1-20 is far period period of the settled of the settle	r		Application No	o	Applicant(s)				
Vinceta S. Panwalkar   Z611			10/661,602		MOON ET AL.	•			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheat with the correspondence address—Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of term any to available under the aprovious 37 CFR 1.130b. In covers, however, may reply be timely field  1 th Querted for ropy is profiled above, the macroman statution protected will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  1 Failure to profy which the set or elected grand for reply is pratised, cause the application to be used. SIX the supplication of the state than three mainth after the mailing state of this communication, even if timely liked, may reduce any certed grant them algorithms.  1 Status  1 Set Responsive to communication(s) filled on 19 July 2007.  2 In This action is FINAL.  2 In This action is FINAL.  2 In This action is FINAL.  3 In Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex partle Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  2 Disposition of Claims  4 In Claim(s) 1.20 is/are pending in the application.  4 In Old the above claim(s) 5.10 and 15.20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5 In Claim(s) 1.20 is/are allowed.  5 In Claim(s) 1.4 and 11-14 is/are rejected.  7 In Claim(s) 1.4 and 11-14 is/are rejected.  7 In the grant of the profit of the profit of the drawing(s) is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9 In the grant of review is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a In drawing(s) filed on 19 July 2007 is/are: a) Cacepted or b Displaced to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9 In the grant of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (FCT Rule 17.2(a)).  1 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f	Office Action	Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	,			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Sententions of tense may be available under the provisions of 37 FR 1-136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timaly filled.  - Elementary to tense may be available under the provisions of 37 FR 1-136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timaly filled.  - Elementary to tense may be available under the provisions of 37 FR 1-136(a).  - Elementary to the provision of the provision of 37 FR 1-136(a).  - Fallace to reply within the actor extended period for reply with. By abulate, cause the appointation to accome AbANDONED (35 U.S. £, 13.5). Any reply within the actor extended period for reply within the maining date of this communication, even if timely filed.  - Fallace to reply within the actor extended period for reply with, by abulate, cause the appointation to accome AbANDONED (35 U.S. £, 13.5). Any reply and with apply and with apply and with the maining date of this communication, even if timely filed.  - Fallace to reply within the actor extended period for reply with the province and province any order and supply and with the maining date of this communication.  - Fallace to reply within the actor extended period for reply with the province and province			Vineeta S. Pan	walkar	2611				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Elemensor to the may be available under the provisions of 37 FR1 1-18(b). In newert, news, a reply be timely fled after SIX (8) AGNITIS from the mailing date of this communication of 37 FR1 1-18(b). In newert, news, a reply be timely fled after SIX (8) AGNITIS from the mailing date of this communication, and the provision of the six of the communication of the communication of the six of the communication o	The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	pears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 July 2007.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-10 and 15-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-14 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-14 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-14 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-14 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-14 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are objected to by the Examiner.  4Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 July 2007 is/are: 1) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) ☐ Internation Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  9) ☐ Notice of Indirnal Patient Application	<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONGER</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the ma</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified al</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or ext Any reply received by the Office lat</li> </ul>	, FROM THE MAILING Date under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 iling date of this communication. Soove, the maximum statutory period rended period for reply will, by statute er than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS C 36(a). In no event, ho will apply and will expire, c, cause the application	COMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time re SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) This action is FINAL  2b) This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-20 (s/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-10 and 15-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 July 2007 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) Hospication for equiest that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Dale.  5) Notice of Triotomal Patent Application	Status				•	•			
2a) This action is FINAL  2b) This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-20 (s/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-10 and 15-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 July 2007 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) Hospication for equiest that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Dale.  5) Notice of Triotomal Patent Application	1) Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on 19.//	ulv 2007						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex perte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.    Disposition of Claims	,		·	nal					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	, <del></del>	• —			secution as to the	e merits is			
Al) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-10 and 15-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 July 2007 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of.  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	,	,							
4)	Closed in accordance	o with the practice and a	en parto quayro	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-10 and 15-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s)	Disposition of Claims								
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 19 2007 is/are; a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are	pending in the application	· I.						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 19 19 2007 is/are; a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	4a) Of the above clai	m(s) <u>5-10 and 15-20</u> is/ar	e withdrawn froi	m consideration.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filled on _19_July_2007_ is/are; _a) accepted or _b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All _b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Paferences Cited (PTO-892)    Online of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Online of Informal Patent Application	5) Claim(s) is/ar		: '						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filled on _19_July_2007_ is/are; _a) accepted or _b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All _b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Paferences Cited (PTO-892)    Online of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Online of Informal Patent Application	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 11-</u>								
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 July 2007 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Notice of Informal Patent Application		•			:				
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filled on 19 July 2007 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•	or election requi	rement.					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 July 2007 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	,—	•			:				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 July 2007 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers			•	÷				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	9) The specification is o	bjected to by the Examine	er.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some coll None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	,								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Applicant may not requ	est that any objection to the	drawing(s) be he	ld in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)									
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)									
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Attachment(s)								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
	3) Information Disclosure Stateme		· =	Notice of Informal P					

10/661,602 Art Unit: 2611

# DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Arguments

- 1a. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.
- 1b. As stated in the interview summary form mailed on 11/15/07, previously cited reference Aoyama et al. (US 6968212 B1, hereinafter, Aoyama) does not qualify as prior art under 35. U.S.C. 102(e). However, Ayoma et al. WO01/91332 A1, hereinafter, Ayoma-WO, qualifies as prior art under 35. U.S.C102(b). when Ayoma-WO was entered into the US national stage under 35 U.S.C. 371, the applicants Ayoma et al. submitted that Ayoma (now US patent 6968212 B1, then US application 10/031873) is the official English language translation of Ayoma-WO. Hence, hereinafter, Ayoma has been used as the official translation of Ayoma-WO. Therefore, although the rejection is based on Ayoma-WO, the figure, column and line numbers referred to are from Ayoma.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ayoma-WO. (Previously cited reference Ayoma has been used as the official translation of Ayoma-WO. Therefore, although the rejection is based on Ayoma-

Art Unit: 2611

WO, the figure, column and line numbers referred to are from previously cited reference, Ayoma).

- 2a. Regarding claims 1 and 11, Aoyama-WO shows base station apparatus and packet transmission method used in a CDMA radio communication system wherein is shown a modulation device comprising:
  - a modulation unit that modulates data in a hierarchical manner using multiple types of modulation techniques(Fig. 2, column 2, line 35 column 4, line 25 of Ayoma; modulation section 153 is interpreted as claimed modulation unit. Modulation system determining section 152 selects one of 16QAM or 64QPSK or QPSK (claimed multiple types of modulation techniques) based on determined priority (claimed hierarchy). Then, modulation system determining section 152 instructs modulation section 153 about the modulation system. Thus, modulation unit 153 performs a different type of modulation, as instructed by section 152, which determines the type of modulation based on the priority determined. Hence modulation unit 153 is interpreted as claimed modulation unit performing claimed hierarchical modulation); and
  - a transmission unit that transmits the hierarchically modulated data (Fig. 2, column 2, line 35 column 4, line 25 of Ayoma; antennas 101, 102, 103 and duplexer 104 are interpreted as claimed transmission unit).

Regarding claim 11, Aoyama-WO discloses corresponding method.

10/661,602 Art Unit: 2611

- 2b. Regarding claims 2 and 12, Aoyama-WO further shows the modulation device, comprising:
  - a sampling pattern generating unit that generates a sampling pattern for each of the multiple types of modulation techniques, the sampling pattern defining a sampling space for quantizing said data in accordance with each of said modulation techniques, wherein the modulation unit modulates said data in the hierarchical manner using a digital signal sampled based on the sampling pattern (Fig. 2, column 2, line 35 column 4, line 25 of Ayoma. Since modulation system determining section 152 selects a type of modulation technique, as claimed (16QAM, 64QPSK or QPSK). Thus modulation system determining section 152 is interpreted as claimed sampling pattern generating unit because it defines the sampling space of the carrier used in modulation, i.e. it defines the type of modulation scheme to be used (16QAM and 64QPSK or QPSK)).

Regarding claim 12, Aoyama-WO discloses corresponding method.

- 2c. Regarding claims 3 and 13, Aoyama-WO further shows the modulation device, wherein:
  - the sampling pattern defines the sampling space of a carrier used in one of multi-phase phase shift keying and multi-value quadrature amplitude modulation. (Fig.2, column 2, line 35 column 4, line 25 of Ayoma; 16QAM is

10/661,602 Art Unit: 2611

claimed multi-value quadrature amplitude modulation and 64QPSK is claimed multi-phase phase shift keying).

Regarding claim 13, Aoyama-WO discloses corresponding method.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 3. Claims 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoyama-WO in view of Marchetto et al. (US 5914959), hereinafter, Marchetto.
- Regarding claims 4 and 14, Aoyama-WO shows all the limitations claimed (See 3b above), but fails to explicitly disclose whether the sampling pattern is transmitted along with the modulated data.

In the same field of endeavor, however, Marchetto shows a digital communication having an automatically selectable transmission rate wherein:

Art Unit: 2611

the transmission unit transmits the sampling pattern, together with the hierarchically modulated data. (See column 1, line 55 – column 3, line 22. A base transmitter (claimed transmission unit) transmits a data signal using an initial set of constellation points. The pilot block symbol identifying the constellation pattern (claimed sampling pattern) is transmitted with the data stream, i.e. claimed sampling pattern is transmitted with the data stream).

Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a pilot symbol identifying the constellation pattern (or to transmit the sampling pattern) along with modulated data as shown by Marchetto in the modulation device shown by Aoyama-WO, because Marchetto's technique will ensure proper demodulation of the data at the receiver (Column 3, lines 10-22).

Regarding claim 14, Aoyama-WO and Marchetto disclose corresponding method.

#### **Contact Information**

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vineeta S. Panwalkar whose telephone number is 571-272-8561. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 571-272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Application/Control Number:

10/661,602 Art Unit: 2611

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

VP

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER